

Argumente in der Schweizer Politik besser verstehen

Literaturübersicht

Pragma-Dialektik

- Eemeren, Frans H. van and Rob Grootendorst (1984). “Speech acts in argumentative discussions : a theoretical model for analysis of discussions directed towards solving conflicts of opinion”. In: *Pragmatics and discourse analysis*.
- Eemeren, Frans H. van and Rob Grootendorst (1992). *Argumentation, Communication, and Fallacies: A Pragma-dialectical Perspective*. Routledge.
- Eemeren, Frans H. van and Rob Grootendorst (2004). *A Systematic Theory of Argumentation. The pragma-dialectical approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- Eemeren, Frans H. van (2018). *Argumentation theory: A pragma-dialectical perspective*. Vol. 40. 1. Springer.

Argumentationsmuster

- Atkinson, Katie and Trevor Bench-Capon (2021). “Argumentation schemes in AI and Law”. In: *Argument and Computation* 1, pp. 1–18.
- Bench-Capon, Trevor and Henry Prakken (2010). “A lightweight formal model of two-phase democratic deliberation”. In: *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications* 223, pp. 27–36.
- Bench-Capon, Trevor, Henry Prakken, and Wietske Visser (2011). “Argument schemes for two-phase democratic deliberation”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, pp. 21–30.
- Blair, J. Anthony (2001). “Walton’s Argumentation Schemes for Presumptive Reasoning: A Critique and Development”. In: *Argumentation* 15.4, pp. 365–379.
- Braet, Antoine C. (2004). “The oldest typology of argumentation schemes”. In: *Argumentation* 18.1, pp. 127–148.
- Garssen, Bart and Frans H. van Eemeren (2019). *From Argument Schemes to Argumentative Relations in the Wild: A Variety of Contributions to Argumentation Theory*. Springer Verlag.

- Green, Nancy L., Michael Branon, and Luke Roosje (2018). “Argument Schemes and Visualization Software for Critical Thinking About International Politics”. In: *Argument and Computation* 10.1, pp. 41–53.
- Katzav, J. and Chris Reed (2004). “On Argumentation Schemes and the Natural Classification of Arguments”. In: *Argumentation* 18.2, pp. 239–259.
- Parsons, Simon et al. (2014). “Argument schemes for reasoning about trust”. In: *Argument and Computation* 5.2-3, pp. 160–190.
- Prakken, Henry (2010). “On the nature of argument schemes”. In: *Dialectics, Dialogue and Argumentation. An Examination of Douglas Walton's Theories of Reasoning and Argument*, pp. 1–21.
- Reed, Chris and Christopher W. Tindale, eds. (2010). *Dialectics, Dialogue and Argumentation. An Examination of Douglas Walton's Theories of Reasoning and Argument*. College Publications.
- Schellens, Peter Jan and Menno de Jong (2004). “Argumentation Schemes in Persuasive Brochures”. In: *Argumentation* 18.3, pp. 295–323.
- Wagemans, Jean H. M. (2011). “The Assessment of Argumentation From Expert Opinion”. In: *Argumentation* 25.3, pp. 329–339.
- Walton, Douglas (2007). *Media Argumentation. Dialectic, Persuasion, and Rhetoric*. Cambridge University Press.
- Walton, Douglas, Chris Reed, and Fabrizio Macagno (2008). *Argumentation Schemes*. Cambridge University Press.
- Walton, Douglas (2009). “Enthymemes and Argumentation Schemes in Health Product Ads”. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop W5: Computational Models of Natural Argument, Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Pasadena, 2009*, pp. 49–56.
- Walton, Douglas (2014). “On a razor’s edge: Evaluating arguments from expert opinion”. In: *Argument and Computation* 5.2-3, pp. 139–159.
- Walton, Douglas and Fabrizio Macagno (2016). “A Classification System for Argumentation Schemes”. In: *Argument and Computation* 6.3, pp. 1–29.
- Zenker, Frank (2011). “Experts and Bias: When is the Interest-Based Objection to Expert Argumentation Sound?” In: *Argumentation* 25.3, pp. 355–370.

Weitere Ressourcen

- Browne, M. Neil and Stuart M. Keeley (2007). *Asking the right questions: A guide to critical thinking*. Pearson Education.
- DiCarlo, Christopher (2011). *How to Become a Really Good Pain in the Ass: A Critical Thinker's Guide to Asking the Right Questions*. Prometheus Books.
- Fairclough, Norman (1998). "Political Discourse in the Media: An Analytical Framework". In: *Approaches to Media Discourse*. Ed. by Allen Bell and Peter Garrett. Vol 6, 200. Blackwell Pub., pp. 142–162.
- Fairclough, Isabela and Norman Fairclough (2012). *Political Discourse Analysis. A method for advanced students*. Routledge.
- Hansen, Hans V. and Douglas Walton (2013). "Argument Kinds and Argument Roles in the Ontario Provincial Election, 2011". In: *Journal of Argumentation in Context* 2.2, pp. 226–258.
- Hautli-Janisz, Annette and Mennatallah El-Assady (2017). "Rhetorical strategies in German argumentative dialogs". In: *Argument and Computation* 8.2, pp. 153–174.
- Lanius, David (2020). "Argumentative Patterns of Right-Wing Populism: The Example of the "Alternative for Germany"". In: *Proceedings of the 3rd European Conference on Argumentation*, pp. 77–96.
- Tindale, Christopher W. (2004). *Rhetorical argumentation: Principles of theory and practice*. Sage.
- Walton, Douglas (2004). "Criteria of Rationality for Evaluating Democratic Public Rhetoric". In: *Talking Democracy: Historical Perspectives on Rhetoric and Democracy*. Ed. by Benedetto Fontana, Cary J. Nederman, and Gary Remer. Penn State University Press, pp. 295–330.